


Pixel-level uncertainties

An AEROSAT discussion
Thursday, October 18th

Adam Povey (Chair) and Linlu Mei (Rapporteur)

Definitions

- **Error:** Difference between what was measured and the theoretical “true” value.
 - Varies between **random** (averages to zero over many observations) and **systematic** (does not).
- **Uncertainty:** Characterisation of the range of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurement.
 - An estimate of the statistical distribution of error.
 - Varies between **prognostic** (how wrong you *think* you are) and **diagnostic** estimates (how wrong you *actually* were).



Minutes from Helsinki 2017



- Representation
 - AOD believed to be log-normally distributed.
 - Level 3 data is the most widely used product but gets less development than Level 2 and doesn't express variability.
- Propagation of uncertainty
 - Needs to separate random and systematic components.
 - Distribution of error is expected to be Gaussian-like but this hasn't been confirmed.
 - Moving away from solely diagnostic approaches.
- Validation
 - AOD evaluated against AERONET but there is no standard method.
 - Unclear what to do for derived products.
- Andy Sayer proposes to lead an inter-comparison experiment.

Previous questions

- How do we define and calculate uncertainty?
- Who uses uncertainty information?
- How do we validate uncertainties?
- How do we propagate uncertainties into Level 3 data and other derived products?
- Can/should we separate the uncertainties from different error sources, especially those that aren't Gaussian?

Progress since 2014

- There is a greater understanding of the meaning and utility of uncertainty data.
- Pixel-level uncertainties are provided with MISR v23, CCI ATSR, MODIS DT and BAR.
 - Derived using a variety of techniques.
- Several published examples of validating satellite uncertainties against AERONET.



Previous questions

- How do we define and calculate uncertainty?
- Who uses uncertainty information?
- How do we validate uncertainties?
- How do we propagate uncertainties into Level 3 data and other derived products?
- Can/should we separate the uncertainties from different error sources, especially those that aren't Gaussian?

Discussion questions

- Propagation
 - Is it more important for uncertainty to be theoretically sound and traceable or accurate and transferable?
 - Is it practical to separate random/uncorrelated, systematic/correlated and sampling errors?
 - Should we report the data people expect or that which minimises the uncertainty (e.g. Angstrom vs FM-AOD)?
- Validation
 - Is there a single metric by which to judge a dataset?
 - What other data should we validate against?
- What experiment should we do next?